UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,870	05/18/2006	Robert-Jan Van De Rovaart	022862-1099	3824
	7590 03/18/201 ST & FRIEDRICH LL	EXAMINER		
	NSIN AVENUE	SPISICH, MARK		
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEE	, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/579,870	VAN DE ROVAA	VAN DE ROVAART, ROBERT-JAN	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mark Spisich	3727		
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perio Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 this communication. aximum statutory period wil d for reply will, by statute, of emonths after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a I apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
Responsive to communication This action is FINAL . Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the conclusion.	2b)∏ This a ndition for allowand	action is non-final. se except for formal ma	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 20-33 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allower 6) Claim(s) 20-33 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	is/are withdrawidd. dd. dd. ed to.	n from consideration.			
· · _	– .				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to the specification is objected to the specification is objected to the specification is object. The oath or declaration is object.	is/are: a) ☐ accep iny objection to the di including the correction	oted or b) objected to rawing(s) be held in abeya n is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Int * See the attached detailed Office	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priorit ternational Bureau	have been received. have been received in y documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 21,28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner cannot find any mention in the specification to support the recitation of "a form-fitting connection can be produced" (new claim 21). Applicant is invited to point out where in the specification there is (literal) support for this recitation.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: For some reason, applicant chose to alter the terminology used for the nose (50) and the crosspiece (20) (such terms being used in the specification as well as the original claims). Applicant now uses the terms "lug" for the nose (50) and "web" for the crosspiece (20). Applicant should either amend the specification to include a mention of the new terms are amend the claims using the original terminology.

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 20-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2002-2455. '455 discloses (with reference to figures 9-11) a wiper arm for a windshield wiper system comprising a fixing element (3) for connection to a drive shaft (2) as well as a tiltably-fixed cover unit (11) pivoted (at 11d) to the fixing element and further characterized by an engagement (between 14 and 15 in figures 8-11) between a projecting rib (15) and a curved outer surface (14) of the fixing element to fix the cover in the tilted or "open" position. The rib (15) is spaced from the rotary support (11d). The phrase "in the direction of the top side" is broad. If applicant intends this to be interpreted as required that the rib (30,32) be located between the rotary support (52,54) and the top side (40), then they should amend to claims to say so. In addition, the mere use of a reference numeral (#22) to indication the outer contour of the fastening part does not require that the claim has to have the part in the same location. '455 further discloses the lug (11f) and the cooperating crosspiece (3i).
- 6. Claims 20-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukamoto et al (US PUB 2002/0152575). '575 discloses (with reference to embodiment of figures 10-15) a cover (61) for engagement with a fixing element (55) which is engaged with a structure that fixes the cover in the open position (see figures

Art Unit: 3727

14a-14b and paragraph 0085). '575 further discloses a nose (68) and a crosspiece (67). The rib (64a) is the means for latching the cover and it is positioned between the rotary support (64) and the top side (figure 12C) and could be said to be arranged at a (small) distance from the rotary support. Any surface on the exterior of the fixing element that engages the rib (64a) would be the outer contour. It need not be the one identified in the drawings of the present application (unless required by the language of the claim(s)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 27 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EITHER JP 2002-2455 OR Tsukamoto et al (US PUB 2002/0152575) in view of Rogers et al (USP 4,318,201). With regard to the use of glass fiber-reinforced plastic, the patent to Rogers discloses that such material is known for use in wiper devices (see column 7, lines 4-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have utilized any known material recognized in the art as suitable for the intended use.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following drafted by the examiner and considered to distinguish patentably over the art of record in this application, is presented to applicant for consideration:

Art Unit: 3727

Wiper arm (10) for a window wiper system, with a fastening part (12) for connection to a drive shaft, wherein a tiltably fastened cover unit (24) having a top side (40) and two side wings (26,28) which are open to a front side (34) and are connected via a closed rear side (36) is provided for at least partially releasing the fastening part (12), and the cover unit can be latched in the tilted state to the fastening part (12), characterized in that, on an inner side of at least one side wing (26,28), the cover unit (24) has an inwardly projecting rib (30,32) elongated in a direction generally aligned with the top side for latching of the cover unit (24) in the tilted state, the rib (30,32) being spaced from a rotary support (52,54) of the cover unit (24) and where it is further located between the rotary support (52,54) and the top side (40) and, upon tilting of the cover unit (24), can be guided at least partially along a curved outer contour (22) of the fastening part.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 18 January 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to JP '455, the rib (15) thereof is spaced from the pivot (11d) and which engages a curved surface of the outer side wall of the fixing element (3). That is an "outer contour" as defined in the claim(s). The examiner also gave consideration to the phrase "in the direction of the top side". Saying that something is arranged "in the direction" of something is broad. If applicant wishes to recite that the rib is located between the top side and the rotary support (52,54), then they should recite such in the claim(s). With regard to '575, the rib (64a) is arranged at a (small) distance from the rotary support (64) and the rib is also located between the rotary

Art Unit: 3727

support and the top side. Any surface on the outer sides of the fixing element (55) which is engaged by the rib (64a) of the cover would be the outer contour. There is nothing in the claim which would require that the surface of the outer contour engaged by the rib is located specifically as shown in figure 4. Applicant's comments regarding the location of the "nose" (11f in'455 and 68 in '575) is not commensurate with the claims as no specific location of the nose is required by the claims. Applicant is directed to the above claim which was drafted by the examiner. Current claim 20 was amended to add (1) the orientation of the rib relative to the top side (get around '575) and (2) the location of the rib between the rotary member and the top side (get around '455).

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,870

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on (571) 272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Spisich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727 Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727 Page 7

/M. S./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727